

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,199	01/04/2005	Maarten Peter Bodlaender	NL 020605	2693
	7590 09/04/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			HOANG, SON T	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2169	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	í
	ム
_	7
7	,

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/520,199	BODLAENDER, MAARTEN PETER
Examiner	Art Unit
Son T. Hoang	2169

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies; (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ___ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🔀 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔀 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1-5, 7-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The amendment filed on 08/27/2007 has been entered. However, the amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-20 are rejected by Hewagamage et al. and claim 8 is rejected by the combination of Hewagamage et al. and Shiomi et al.

For example, Hewagamage et al. teaches claim 6 as:

"Regarding claim 6, Hewagamage et al. further discloses a method [Pages 325, Left Column, Line 25 -> Page 326, Left Column, Line 2] wherein the database comprises includes further data objects having at least one further source parameter associated therewith and wherein the method comprises the following steps includes:

identifying similar further data objects having equal values of the at least one further classification parameter associated with each similar data object, wherein the further classification parameters of the similar further data objects have equal values (when the user selects a particular event category, then icons appeared in the Map and Time Frame Components are restricted to be the ones in that category) [Page 325, Right Column, Line 55 -> Page 326, Left Column, Line 2], e.g., pictures in same event;

identifying similarity of values of the further source parameter of the further similar data objects having equal further classification parameters (when the user selects a particular event category, then icons appeared in the Map and Time Frame Components are restricted to be the ones in that category) [Page 325, Right Column, Line 55 -> Page 326, Left Column, Line 2], e.g., pictures with similar location and / or time in same event;

associating the further classification parameter with the data object when at least one of the at least one source parameter of the data object is similar to the further source parameter of the further similar data objects (If several videos and/or images are taken at the same location having insignificant time difference, then they are considered to belong to the same context and a single icon is used to represent them) [Page 325, Left Column, Lines 55-58], e.g., same event category contains only pictures taken at similar location and / or time."

Therefore, the final rejection is maintained.

/S.H./ Son Hoang Patent Examiner August 29, 2007

}